

## $\alpha$ - $B$ -Finitisticness of Fuzzy Bitopological Spaces

Shakeel Ahmed

*Govt. Degree College, Thanna Mandi*

Rohini Jamwal

*Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu*  
*E-mail: [rohinijamwal121@gmail.com](mailto:rohinijamwal121@gmail.com)*

Abstract:

In this paper, we have introduced the concept of  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic fuzzy bitopological spaces and studied some of their basic properties.

Keywords:

Covering Dimension, Finitisticness, Fuzzy Bitopological Space, Open Refinement.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The order of a family  $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of subsets, not all empty, of some set  $X$  is the largest integer  $n$  for which there exists a subsets  $M$  of  $\Delta$  with  $n+1$  elements such that  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in M} U_\lambda$  is non-empty, or is  $\infty$  if there is no such largest integer.

Let  $\Delta \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a family of fuzzy subsets of a non-empty set  $X$ . Then order of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as under:

**Case I.** When  $A_\lambda \neq \underline{0}$  for atleast one value of  $\lambda$  in  $\Delta$ . Then the order of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the largest non-negative integer  $n$  for which there exists a subset  $M$  of  $\Delta$  having  $n+1$  elements such that  $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in M} A_\lambda \neq \underline{0}$  or is  $\infty$  if there is no such largest integer  $n$ .

**Case II.** When  $A_\lambda = \underline{0}$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ . Then the order of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $-1$ .

The concept of bitopological space was introduced by Kelly [6]. A bitopological space is a triplet  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  where  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  are two topologies on  $X$ . Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. A subfamily  $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of  $\tau_i$  is said to be  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  where  $i=1,2$  if  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda = X$ . A bitopological space

$(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $B$ -compact if each  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $X$  has  $\tau_j$  finite subcover where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ . Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \tau_3, \tau_4)$  be two bitopological spaces. A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_3, \tau_4)$  is said to be  $B$ -continuous if inverse image of every  $\tau_3$  open subset of  $Y$  is  $\tau_2$  open subset of  $X$  and inverse image of every  $\tau_4$  open subset of  $Y$  is  $\tau_1$  open subset of  $X$ . A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (T, \tau_3, \tau_4)$  is said to be  $B$ -homeomorphism if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $B$ -continuous. A general bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $B$ -finitistic if each  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $X$  has  $\tau_j$  finite order open refinement where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

Any function  $A: X \rightarrow I$  where  $I = [0, 1]$  is called a fuzzy subset of  $X$ . The set of all fuzzy subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $I^X$ . A subfamily  $\delta \subset I^X$  is said to be a fuzzy topology on  $X$  if

- (i)  $\underline{0}, \underline{1} \in \delta$ ,
- (ii)  $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subset \delta \Rightarrow \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda \in \delta$ ,
- (iii)  $U, V \in \delta \Rightarrow U \wedge V \in \delta$ .

The pair  $(X, \delta)$  is called fuzzy topological space. For every  $a \in I$ ,  $\underline{a}$  is called “ $a$ ” valued constant function from  $X$  to  $I$ . A fuzzy subset  $A$  is called a crisp subset if there exists an ordinary subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A = \chi_U$ , where  $\chi_U: X \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \subset I$  is the characteristic function of  $U$ . The family of all the crisp subsets contained in  $\delta$  is denoted by  $crs(\delta)$  and  $[\delta]$  is defined as  $[\delta] = \{U \subset X : \chi_U \in crs(\delta)\}$ . For a fuzzy topological space  $(X, \delta)$ ,  $crs(\delta)$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$  and  $[\delta]$  is general topology on  $X$ . A fuzzy bitopological space is a triplet  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , where  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  are two fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Let  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  be a fuzzy bitopological space. A subfamily  $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of  $\delta_i$  is said to be  $\delta_i$  open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  where  $i = 1, 2$  if  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda = \underline{1}$ . Let  $(X, \delta)$  be a fuzzy topological space. For every  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , a subfamily  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\delta$  is said to be an  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta)$  if for every  $x \in X$ , there exists some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U(x) > \alpha$  (page no.187 of [7]). An  $\alpha$ -open cover is also called  $\alpha$ -shading.

## 2. $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic fuzzy bitopological spaces

**Definition 2.1.** A fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic if each  $\delta_i$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  has  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement where  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *A general bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is B -finitistic if and only if  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.*

*Proof.* Here  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a general bitopological space and  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$  is a fuzzy bitopological space where  $\chi(\tau_1) = \{\chi_V : V \in \tau_1\}$  and  $\chi(\tau_2) = \{\chi_V : V \in \tau_2\}$ . Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is B -finitistic. We have to show that  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{\chi_{U_\lambda} : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any  $\chi(\tau_i)$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{V} = \{U_\lambda : \chi_{U_\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\chi(\tau_i)$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$ , there exists some  $\chi_{U_\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\chi_{U_\lambda}(x) > \alpha$ . But  $\chi_{U_\lambda}(x) > \alpha \Rightarrow \chi_{U_\lambda}(x) > 0 \Rightarrow \chi_{U_\lambda}(x) = 1 \Rightarrow x \in U_\lambda$ . This means that  $X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Delta} U_\lambda$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is B -finitistic, therefore  $\mathcal{V}$  has a  $\tau_j$  finite order open refinement, say  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_t : t \in \Delta_1\}$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{S} = \{\chi_{W_t} : W_t \in \mathcal{W}\}$  is a  $\chi(\tau_j)$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . We first show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\chi(\tau_j)$   $\alpha$ -open cover. Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is  $\tau_j$  open cover of  $X$ ,  $\bigcup_{t \in \Delta_1} W_t = X$ . Now,  $\bigcup_{t \in \Delta_1} W_t = X \Rightarrow \chi_{\bigcup_{t \in \Delta_1} W_t} = \chi_X = \underline{1} \Rightarrow \bigvee_{t \in \Delta_1} \chi_{W_t} = \underline{1} \Rightarrow \bigvee_{t \in \Delta_1} \chi_{W_t}(x) = \underline{1}(x) = 1 > \alpha, \forall x \in X$ . This means that for all  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\chi_{W_t} \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\chi_{W_t}(x) > \alpha$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\chi(\tau_j)$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is  $\tau_j$  refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$ , for every  $W_t \in \mathcal{W}$ , there exists  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $W_t \in U_\lambda$ . Clearly,  $\chi_{W_t} \leq \chi_{U_\lambda}$ . Thus for each  $\chi_{W_t} \in \mathcal{S}$ , there exists  $\chi_{U_\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\chi_{W_t} \leq \chi_{U_\lambda}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\chi(\tau_j)$   $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Finally, suppose order of  $\mathcal{W} = n$  ( $\because \mathcal{U}$  is of finite order). Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} W_{t_i} = \emptyset \Rightarrow \chi_{\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} W_{t_i}} = \underline{0} \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+2} \chi_{W_{t_i}} = \underline{0}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{S}$  is also of finite order. Thus  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\chi(\tau_j)$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.

Conversely, let  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$  be  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic. We have to show that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is B -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . We show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{\chi_{U_\lambda} : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is  $\chi(\tau_i)$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$ . For this, let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is any  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , there exists some  $U_\beta \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in U_\beta$ . Then  $\chi_{U_\beta}(x) = 1 > \alpha$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V} = \{\chi_{U_\lambda} : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is  $\chi(\tau_i)$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$ . Since  $(X, \chi(\tau_1), \chi(\tau_2))$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic, therefore  $\mathcal{V}$  has  $\chi(\tau_j)$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement, say  $\mathcal{W} = \{\chi_{W_t} : t \in \Delta\}$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{S} = \{W_t : \chi_{W_t} \in \mathcal{W}\}$  is  $\tau_j$  finite order open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is B -finitistic.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  be a fuzzy bitopological space. Then  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$  is  $B$ -finitistic if and only if  $(X, \text{crs}\delta_1, \text{crs}\delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

*Proof.* We know that  $\text{crs}(\delta_i)$  ( $i=1,2$ ) is a fuzzy topology on  $X$  and  $[\delta_i]$  is a general topology on  $X$ . Thus the result follows by Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.4.** A general bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -finitistic if and only if  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic, where  $\delta_1 = (\underline{U} : U \in \tau_1)$  and  $\delta_2 = (\underline{U} : U \in \tau_2)$ . Here  $\underline{U}$  denotes the constant  $U$  function from  $X$  to  $I$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -finitistic. We shall show that  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic. For this, let  $\mathcal{U} = \{\underline{U}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\delta_i\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . We first show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{\underline{U}_\lambda : \underline{U}_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\delta_i\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ , there exists some  $\underline{U}_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\underline{U}_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ . Now,  $\underline{U}_\lambda(x) > \alpha \Rightarrow \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Delta} \underline{U}_\lambda = 1 = \underline{1}(x) \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Delta} \underline{U}_\lambda = X$ .

This shows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -finitistic, therefore  $\mathcal{V}$  has  $\tau_j$  finite order open refinement, say  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_t : t \in \Lambda\}$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{S} = \{\underline{W}_t : W_t \in \mathcal{W}\}$  is a  $\delta_j\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $\bigcup_{t \in \Lambda} W_t = X \Rightarrow \bigvee_{t \in \Lambda} \underline{W}_t(x) = \underline{1}(x) = 1$ . This means that there exists some  $\underline{W}_t \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\underline{W}_t(x) > \alpha$ . Also, let  $\underline{W}_t \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $W_t \in \mathcal{W}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is a  $\tau_j$  open refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$ , there exists some  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $W_t \subset U_\lambda$ . But  $W_t \subset U_\lambda$  implies  $\underline{W}_t \leq \underline{U}_\lambda$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\delta_j\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Finally, we show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is of finite order.

Let order of  $\mathcal{W} = n$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_1$  be any subfamily of  $\mathcal{S}$  having  $n+2$  members. Then  $(\bigwedge_{W_i \in \mathcal{S}_1} W_i)(x) = \bigwedge_{W_i \in \mathcal{S}_1} W_i(x) = \bigcap_{W_i \in \mathcal{S}_1} W_i = \emptyset = 0 = \underline{0}(x)$  implies  $\bigwedge_{W_i \in \mathcal{S}_1} \underline{W}_i = \underline{0}$ . This shows that order of  $\mathcal{S}$  is not exceeding  $n$ . Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

Conversely, suppose  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic. We have to show that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{\underline{U}_\lambda : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is  $\delta_i$  open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\tau_i$  open cover of  $X$ ,  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Delta} U_\lambda = X = \underline{1}$ . Now,  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Delta} \underline{U}_\lambda = \underline{1} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Delta} U_\lambda(x) = \underline{1}(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X \Rightarrow \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Delta} \underline{U}_\lambda(x) = 1 > \alpha$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . This means that there exists  $\lambda \in \Delta$  such that  $\underline{U}_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\delta_i\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Since  $X$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic,  $\mathcal{V}$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement, say  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{\underline{V}_t : t \in \Lambda\}$ . It can be easily checked that

$\mathcal{U}_1 = \{V_t : \underline{V}_t \in \mathcal{V}_1\}$  is a  $\tau_j$  finite order open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -finitistic.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  be a  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space and  $(Y, \delta_1|_Y, \delta_2|_Y)$  be a  $B$ -closed subspace of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Then  $(Y, \delta_1|_Y, \delta_2|_Y)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic.*

*Proof.* Here  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is a  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space and  $(Y, \delta_1|_Y, \delta_2|_Y)$  is  $B$ -closed subspace of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . We have to show that  $(Y, \delta_1|_Y, \delta_2|_Y)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be any  $\delta_i|_Y$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(Y, \delta_1|_Y, \delta_2|_Y)$ . Then each  $U_\lambda = V_\lambda|_Y$ , for some  $V_\lambda \in \delta_i$  where  $i=1,2$ . We show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda : U_\lambda = V_\lambda|_Y, \forall U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \{\chi_{Y'}\}$  is  $\delta_i\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \in Y$  or  $x \in Y'$ .

**Case I.** If  $x \in Y$ , then there exists some  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ . Then clearly  $V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $V_\lambda(x) = U_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ , where  $U_\lambda = V_\lambda|_Y$  and  $V_\lambda \in \{V_\lambda : U_\lambda = V_\lambda|_Y, \forall U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . Thus  $V_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ .

**Case II.** If  $x \in Y'$ , then  $\chi_{Y'} \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\chi_{Y'}(x) = 1 > \alpha$ .

Hence  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda : U_\lambda = V_\lambda|_Y, \forall U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \{\chi_{Y'}\}$  is  $\delta_i\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  in both the cases.

Since  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic, therefore  $\mathcal{V}$  has  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement, say  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{W_\alpha|_Y : W_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_1\}$  is  $\delta_j|_Y$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(Y, \delta_1|_Y, \delta_2|_Y)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a general bitopological space and  $Y \subset X$ . Then  $(Y, \tau_1|_Y, \tau_2|_Y)$  is  $B$ -finitistic if and only if  $(Y, \chi(\tau_1)|_Y, \chi(\tau_2)|_Y)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic.*

*Proof.* We know that  $\chi(\tau_i)|_Y = \{\chi_U : U \in \tau_i|_Y\}$ . Thus by Theorem 2.2  $(Y, \tau_1|_Y, \tau_2|_Y)$  is  $B$ -finitistic if and only if  $(Y, \chi(\tau_1)|_Y, \chi(\tau_2)|_Y)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic.

**Remark 2.7.** An arbitrary subspace of  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space need not be  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic.

We know that in general topology an arbitrary subspace of a finitistic space need not be finitistic [4]. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $B$ -finitistic general bitopological space. Let  $(Y, \tau_1|_Y, \tau_2|_Y)$  be a subspace of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  which is not  $B$ -finitistic. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -finitistic, by Theorem 2.2  $(X, \chi(\tau_1)|_Y, \chi(\tau_2)|_Y)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$  -finitistic. Also, by

Theorem 2.2,  $(Y, \tau_1|_Y, \tau_2|_Y)$  is not  $B$ -finitistic implies  $(Y, \chi(\tau_1)|_Y, \chi(\tau_2)|_Y)$  is not  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $a \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_a = \{A \in I^X : A \leq \underline{a}\} \cup \{\underline{1}\}$ . Then  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space. For, clearly  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is fuzzy bitopological space. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Then clearly,  $\underline{1} \in \mathcal{U}$  (because no subfamily of  $\delta_i$  can be a  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  unless  $\underline{1} \in \mathcal{U}$ ). Now clearly,  $\mathcal{V} = \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}$  is a zero order  $\delta_j$   $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

**Theorem 2.9.** Every  $\alpha$ - $B$ -compact fuzzy bitopological space is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  be a  $\alpha$ - $B$ -compact fuzzy bitopological space. We have to show that it is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be any  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -compact, therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite  $\alpha$ -subcover say  $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V} = \{\underline{0}, U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$  is clearly  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

**Definition 2.10.** A fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ - $B$ -paracompact if each  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $X$  has a  $\delta_j$  locally finite  $\alpha$ -open refinement.

**Theorem 2.11.** Every finite dimensional  $\alpha$ - $B$ -paracompact fuzzy bitopological space is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  be a  $\alpha$ - $B$ -paracompact fuzzy bitopological space. We have to show that it is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be any  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -paracompact, therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  has a  $\delta_j$  locally finite  $\alpha$ -subcover, say  $\mathcal{V}$ . Also since  $\dim X < \infty$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is locally finite, it follows that  $\mathcal{V}$  and hence  $\mathcal{U}$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement. Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

**Remark 2.12.** Converse of above Theorem 2.9 is not true. Consider the following example:

**Example.** Let  $X$  be an infinite set. Let  $\delta_1 = \{\chi_U : U \subset X\}$  and  $\delta_2 = \delta_1$ . Then clearly,  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic space. This is because  $\mathcal{V} = \{\chi_{\{x\}} : x \in X\}$  is clearly  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of every  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . But  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is not  $\alpha$ - $B$ -compact because  $\mathcal{V} = \{\chi_{\{x\}} : x \in X\}$  is a  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  which has no  $\delta_i$  finite  $\alpha$ -subcover.

**Theorem 2.13.** *If  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is a  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space, then both  $(X, \delta_1)$  and  $(X, \delta_2)$  are  $\alpha$ -finitistic.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is an  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space. We have to show that both  $(X, \delta_1)$  and  $(X, \delta_2)$  are  $\alpha$ -finitistic spaces. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be any  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1)$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is  $\delta_1$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Since  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space, therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  has a  $\delta_2$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement, say  $\mathcal{V}$ . Again since  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\delta_2 \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  and  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic, therefore  $\mathcal{V}$  has a  $\delta_1$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement, say  $\mathcal{U}_1$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is a finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \delta_1)$  is  $\alpha$ -finitistic. Similarly we can show that  $(X, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -finitistic.

**Remark 2.14.** Converse of above theorem is not true.  
See the following example:

**Example.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  be a set having two elements. Let  $\delta_1 = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\delta_2 = \{0, \mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{b\}}, 1\}$ . Then clearly both  $(X, \delta_1)$  and  $(X, \delta_2)$  are  $\alpha$ -finitistic fuzzy topological spaces. But  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is not  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic because  $\{\mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{b\}}\}$  is  $\delta_2$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  which has no  $\delta_1$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement.

**Definition 2.15.** A fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -finitistic if each  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $X$  has a  $\delta_i$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement.

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  be a fuzzy bitopological space, where  $X$  is a finite set. Then  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -finitistic.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  be any fuzzy bitopological space. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Since  $X$  is finite, we can write,  $X = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ , there exists some  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_\lambda(n_i) > \alpha, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then clearly,  $\mathcal{V} = \{0, U_{\lambda_1}, U_{\lambda_2}, \dots, U_{\lambda_k}\}$  is  $\delta_i$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -finitistic.

**Remark 2.17.** If  $X$  is finite, then  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  need not be  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.

**Example.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ . Let  $\delta_1 = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\delta_2 = \{0, \mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{b\}}, 1\}$ . Then  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is not  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic. This is because  $\{\mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{b\}}\}$  is  $\delta_2 \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  which has no  $\delta_1$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement.

**Remark 2.18.** An  $\alpha$ -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space need not be  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic. Consider the following example:

**Example.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  be a set having two elements. Let  $\delta_1 = \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}$  and  $\delta_2 = \{\underline{0}, \mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{b\}}, \underline{1}\}$ . Then clearly  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is a fuzzy bitopological space. It is clear that every  $\delta_1$  (or  $\delta_2$ ) open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  has  $\delta_1$  (or  $\delta_2$ ) finite order open refinement. Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -finitistic. But  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is not  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic because  $\{\mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{b\}}\}$  is  $\delta_2\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  which has no  $\delta_1$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement.

**Remark 2.19.** An  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space need not be  $\alpha$ -finitistic.

**Definition 2.20.** A fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is said to be  $B$ -finitistic if each  $\delta_i$  open cover of  $X$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite order open refinement.

**Remark 2.21.** An  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space need not be  $B$ -finitistic. Consider the following example:

**Example.** Let  $X$  be an infinite set. Let  $\delta_1 = \{\mathcal{X}_U : U \subset X\}$  and  $\delta_2 = \delta_1$ . Then clearly,  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is a fuzzy bitopological space. Since  $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{X}_{\{x\}} : x \in X\}$  is  $\delta_i$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of every  $\delta_i\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ , it follows that  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic space. But  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is not  $B$ -finitistic because the  $\delta_i$  open cover  $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{X}_{\{x\}} : x \in X\}$  of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  which has no  $\delta_j$  finite order open refinement.

**Theorem 2.22.** Let  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  be an  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space where either of  $\delta_1$  or  $\delta_2$  is discrete fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Then  $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ .

*Proof.* Proof is easy and hence is omitted.

**Definition 2.23.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \tau_3, \tau_4)$  be two bitopological spaces. A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_3, \tau_4)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ - $B$ -continuous if inverse image of every  $\tau_3\alpha$ -open subset of  $Y$  is  $\tau_2\alpha$ -open subset of  $X$  and inverse image of every  $\tau_4\alpha$ -open subset of  $Y$  is  $\tau_1\alpha$ -open subset of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.24.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \tau_3, \tau_4)$  be two bitopological spaces. A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_3, \tau_4)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ - $B$ -homeomorphism if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $\alpha$ - $B$ -continuous.



**Remark 2.25.** An  $\alpha$ -B -continuous image of  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space need not be  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.

Consider the following example:

**Example.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  be a set having two elements. Let  $\delta_1 = \{\underline{0}, \mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{b\}}, \underline{1}\}$  and  $\delta_2 = \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}$ . Then clearly both  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Then  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  and  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  are fuzzy bitopological spaces. Here  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic but  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is not  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic because  $\{\mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{b\}}\}$  is  $\delta_1\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$  which has no  $\delta_2$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement. Let  $I: X \rightarrow X$  be the identity function. Then  $I: (X, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -continuous. It means  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -continuous image of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic but  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is not  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.

**Remark 2.26.**  $\alpha$ -B -continuous inverse image of  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space need not be  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.

See the following example.

**Example.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\delta_1 = \{\underline{0}, \mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \underline{1}\}$  and  $\delta_2 = \{\underline{0}, \mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{b\}}, \underline{1}\}$ . Then  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is a fuzzy bitopological space. But it is not  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic. Let  $Y = \{x, y\}$ ,  $\delta_3 = \{\underline{0}, \mathcal{X}_{\{x\}}, \underline{1}\}$  and  $\delta_4 = \{\underline{0}, \mathcal{X}_{\{y\}}, \underline{1}\}$ . Then  $(Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$  is a fuzzy bitopological space and it is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic. Define  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  as  $f(a) = x$  and  $f(b) = y$ . Then clearly  $f: (X, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -continuous. Here  $(Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic but  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  which is  $\alpha$ -B -continuous inverse image of  $(Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$  is not  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.

**Theorem 2.27.**  $\alpha$ -B -Homeomorphic image of  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.

*Proof.* Let  $f: (X, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$  be an  $\alpha$ -B -homeomorphism. Suppose that  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space. We have to show that  $(Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be any  $\delta_3\alpha$ -open cover of  $Y$ . Since  $f: (X, \delta_1, \delta_2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -continuous, therefore each  $U_\lambda f$  is  $\delta_2\alpha$ -open subset of  $X$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{U_\lambda f : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is  $\delta_2\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . For this let  $x \in X$ . Then  $f(x) \in Y$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\delta_3\alpha$ -open cover of  $Y$ , there exists  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_\lambda(f(x)) > \alpha$ . But  $U_\lambda(f(x)) > \alpha \Rightarrow (U_\lambda f)(x) > \alpha$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\delta_2\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic fuzzy bitopological space, therefore  $\mathcal{V}$  has  $\delta_1$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement say  $\mathcal{V}_1$ . We now claim that  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{Wf^{-1} : W \in \mathcal{V}_1\}$  is a  $\delta_4$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Since  $f^{-1} : (Y, \delta_3, \delta_4) \rightarrow (X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -continuous, therefore,  $Wf^{-1}$  is  $\delta_4$  fuzzy open subset of  $(Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$ . Also, let  $y \in Y$ . Then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $y = f(x)$ . Since  $f$  is bijective, therefore  $y = f(x)$  implies  $x = f^{-1}(y)$ .

Since  $x \in X$  and  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is a  $\delta_1\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ , there exists some  $W \in \mathcal{V}_1$  such that  $W(x) > \alpha$ . But  $W(x) > \alpha \Rightarrow W(f^{-1}(y)) > \alpha \Rightarrow (Wf^{-1})(y) > \alpha$ .

This implies that  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is  $\delta_4$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$ .

We now show that  $\mathcal{U}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Let  $Wf^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}_1$ . Then  $W \in \mathcal{V}_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{V}$ , there exists some  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $W \leq U_\lambda$ . But  $W \leq U_\lambda$  implies  $Wf^{-1} \leq U_\lambda f^{-1}$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{U}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is of finite order, it is easy to check that order of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is also finite. This proves that  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{Wf^{-1} : W \in \mathcal{V}_1\}$  is a  $\delta_4$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Similarly we can show that each  $\delta_4$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $Y$  has a  $\delta_3$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement. Hence  $(Y, \delta_3, \delta_4)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

**Definition 2.28.** A fuzzy topological space  $(X, \delta)$  is said to be weakly induced if for all  $U \in \delta$  and  $a \in I$ ,  $U_{(a)} \in [\delta]$ .

**Definition 2.29.** A fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is said to be weakly induced if both the fuzzy topological spaces  $(X, \delta_1)$  and  $(X, \delta_2)$  are weakly induced.

**Theorem 2.30.** Let  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  be a weakly induced fuzzy bitopological space. Then  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic if and only if  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$  is  $B$ -finitistic.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is a  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic weakly induced fuzzy bitopological space. We have to show that  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$  is  $B$ -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be any  $[\delta_i]$  open cover of  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$ . We show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{\chi_{U_\lambda} : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a  $\delta_i\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . By definition of  $[\delta_i]$ , each  $\chi_{U_\lambda} \in \delta_i$ , for each  $U_\lambda \in [\delta_i]$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a  $[\delta_i]$  open cover of  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$ , there exists some  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in U_\lambda$ . But  $x \in U_\lambda \Rightarrow \chi_{U_\lambda}(x) = 1 > \alpha \Rightarrow \chi_{U_\lambda}(x) > \alpha$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{V} = \{\chi_{U_\lambda} : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a  $\delta_i\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Since  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic, therefore  $\mathcal{V}$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement say  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{W_t : t \in \Delta\}$ . We show that  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{(W_t)_{(0)} : W_t \in \mathcal{V}_1\}$  is a  $[\delta_j]$  finite order open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is weakly induced, therefore each  $(W_t)_{(0)} \in [\delta_j]$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Suppose  $x \notin (W_t)_{(0)}$ , for all  $(W_t)_{(0)} \in \mathcal{U}_1$ . Then  $W_t(x) = 0$  for all  $W_t \in \mathcal{V}_1$ .

But  $W_t(x) = 0$  for all  $W_t \in \mathcal{V}_1 \Rightarrow \{W_t : t \in \Delta\}$  is not a  $\delta_j \alpha$ -open cover  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $x \in (W_t)_{(0)}$  for some  $(W_t)_{(0)} \in \mathcal{U}_1$ . It means  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{(W_t)_{(0)} : W_t \in \mathcal{V}_1\}$  is a  $[\delta_j]$  open cover of  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$ . Let  $(W_t)_{(0)} \in \mathcal{U}_1$ . Let  $x \in (W_t)_{(0)}$ . Then  $W_t(x) > 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$ , there exists some  $\mathcal{X}_{U_\lambda} \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $W_t < \mathcal{X}_{U_\lambda}$ . But  $W_t(x) > 0$  and  $W_t < \mathcal{X}_{U_\lambda} \Rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{U_\lambda}(x) = 1 \Rightarrow x \in U_\lambda \Rightarrow (W_t)_{(0)} \subset U_\lambda$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Now we show that order of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is finite. Here order of  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is finite. Let order of  $\mathcal{V}_1 = n$ . Let  $\{(W_1)_{(0)}, (W_2)_{(0)}, (W_3)_{(0)}, \dots, (W_{n+2})_{(0)}\}$  be any subfamily of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  having  $n+2$  elements. We show that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} (W_i)_{(0)} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} (W_i)_{(0)} \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists some  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} (W_i)_{(0)}$ . But  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} (W_i)_{(0)} \Rightarrow x \in (W_i)_{(0)}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n+2 \Rightarrow W_i(x) > 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n+2 \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+2} (W_i)(x) > 0 \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+2} (W_i)(x) \neq 0$ . This implies that order of  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is exceeding  $n$ . This is a contradiction. Hence order of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is not exceeding  $n$ . It means order of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is finite. This proves that  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is a  $[\delta_j]$  finite order open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$  is  $B$ -finitistic.

Conversely, suppose  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$  is  $B$ -finitistic. We have to show that  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{(U_\lambda)_{(\alpha)} : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is  $\delta_i$  open cover of  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ , for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ . Now,  $U_\lambda(x) > \alpha \Rightarrow x \in (U_\lambda)_{(\alpha)}$ . Thus for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $(U_\lambda)_{(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $x \in (U_\lambda)_{(\alpha)}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\delta_i$  open cover of  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$ . Since  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$  is  $B$ -finitistic,  $\mathcal{V}$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite order open refinement, say  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_\beta : \beta \in \Delta_1\}$ . Let  $V'_\lambda$  be the union of all those members of  $\mathcal{V}_1$  which are subsets of  $(U_\lambda)_{(\alpha)}$ . Then clearly,  $\mathcal{V}'_1 = \{V'_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta_1\}$  is a  $\delta_j$  open refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$  and order of  $\mathcal{V}'_1$  is finite. Let  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{\mathcal{X}_{V'_\lambda} : V'_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}'_1\}$ . We show that  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is  $\delta_j \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . For this, let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}'_1$  is a  $\delta_j$  open cover of  $(X, [\delta_1], [\delta_2])$ , there exists  $V'_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}'_1$  such that  $x \in V'_\lambda$ . But  $x \in V'_\lambda \Rightarrow x \in (U_\lambda)_{(\alpha)} \Rightarrow U_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ . Also,  $x \in V'_\lambda \Rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{V'_\lambda} = 1$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{X}_{V'_\lambda})(x) = \mathcal{X}_{V'_\lambda}(x) \wedge U_\lambda(x) > 1 \wedge \alpha = \alpha$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is  $\delta_j \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}'_1$  is of finite order, we can assume that order of  $\mathcal{V}'_1 = n$  (say). Then it is easy to show that order of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is not exceeding  $n$ . Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

**Theorem 2.31.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a general bitopological space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -finitistic if and only if  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic, where  $\omega$  is the Lowen functor.*

*Proof.* We know that  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$  is weakly induced fuzzy bitopological space and  $[\omega(\tau_i)] = \tau_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . By above Theorem 2.30,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $B$ -finitistic if and only if  $(X, \omega(\tau_1), \omega(\tau_2))$  is  $B$ -finitistic.

**Theorem 2.32.** *A fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic if and only if  $(X, \iota_\alpha(\delta_1), \iota_\alpha(\delta_2))$  is  $B$ -finitistic.*

*Proof.* We know that  $\iota_\alpha(\delta_i) = \{U_{(\alpha)} : U \in \delta_i\}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Suppose  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic. We shall show that  $(X, \iota_\alpha(\delta_1), \iota_\alpha(\delta_2))$  is  $B$ -finitistic. For this, let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any  $\delta_i$  open cover of  $(X, \iota_\alpha(\delta_1), \iota_\alpha(\delta_2))$ . Then for each  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$ , there exists  $V_\lambda \in \delta_i$  such that  $U_\lambda = (V_\lambda)_{(\alpha)}$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda : U_\lambda = (V_\lambda)_{(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . For this, let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\delta_i$  open cover of  $(X, \iota_\alpha(\delta_1), \iota_\alpha(\delta_2))$ , there exists  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in U_\lambda$ . Now,  $x \in U_\lambda \Rightarrow x \in (V_\lambda)_{(\alpha)} \Rightarrow V_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ . Thus for  $x \in X$ , there exists  $V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $V_\lambda(x) > \alpha$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . Since  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic,  $\mathcal{V}$  has  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement, say  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{W_\beta : \beta \in \Delta_1\}$ . Then clearly,  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{(W_\beta)_{(\alpha)} : W_\beta \in \mathcal{V}_1\}$  is  $\delta_j$  finite order open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \iota_\alpha(\delta_1), \iota_\alpha(\delta_2))$  is  $B$ -finitistic.

Conversely, suppose  $(X, \iota_\alpha(\delta_1), \iota_\alpha(\delta_2))$  is  $B$ -finitistic. We have to show that  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any  $\delta_i \alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . It is easy to show that  $\mathcal{V} = \{(U_\lambda)_{(\alpha)} : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a  $\delta_i$  open cover of  $(X, \iota_\alpha(\delta_1), \iota_\alpha(\delta_2))$ . Since  $(X, \iota_\alpha(\delta_1), \iota_\alpha(\delta_2))$  is  $B$ -finitistic,  $\mathcal{V}$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite order open refinement, say  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{(W_\beta)_{(\alpha)} : \beta \in \Delta_1\}$ . Then clearly,  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{W_\beta : (W_\beta)_{(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{V}_1\}$  is a  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.

**Theorem 2.33.** *Let  $(X, \delta_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(Y, \delta_2, \mu_2)$  be two  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic bitopological spaces. Then  $(X \cup Y, \delta_1 \oplus \delta_2, \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $B$ -finitistic.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \delta_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(Y, \delta_2, \mu_2)$  are two  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic bitopological spaces. We have to show that  $(X \cup Y, \delta_1 \oplus \delta_2, \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic. For this, let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\delta_1 \oplus \delta_2$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X \cup Y, \delta_1 \oplus \delta_2, \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)$ . Then clearly,  $\mathcal{U}|_X = \{U_\lambda|_X : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  and  $\mathcal{U}|_Y = \{U_\lambda|_Y : U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}\}$  are  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$   $\alpha$ -open covers of  $(X, \delta_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(Y, \delta_2, \mu_2)$ , respectively. Since both  $(X, \delta_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(Y, \delta_2, \mu_2)$  are  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic, therefore,  $\mathcal{U}|_X$  and  $\mathcal{U}|_Y$  have  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinements, say  $\mathcal{V}_X$  and  $\mathcal{V}_Y$ , respectively. Define  $R_b = V_b$  on  $X$  &  $R_{b'} = \underline{0}$  on  $Y$  and  $S_t = W_t$  on  $Y$  &  $S_{t'} = \underline{0}$  on  $X$ , where  $V_b \in \mathcal{V}_X$  and  $W_t \in \mathcal{V}_Y$ .

Then clearly, the family of all  $R_b$ 's and  $S_t$ 's defined above is a  $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X \cup Y, \delta_1 \oplus \delta_2, \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.

**Theorem 2.34.** Let  $\{(X_t, \delta_t, \mu_t) : t \in T\}$  be a family of fuzzy bitopological spaces such that  $(X, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \delta_t, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \mu_t)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic, where  $X = \bigcup_{t \in T} X_t$ . Then  $(X_t, \delta_t, \mu_t)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic,  $\forall t \in T$ .

*Proof.* Here,  $X = \bigcup_{t \in T} X_t$ , where  $X_t$ 's are disjoint. Suppose  $(X, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \delta_t, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \mu_t)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic. Let  $\mathcal{U}_t = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any  $\bigoplus_{t \in T} \delta_t$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \delta_t, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \mu_t)$ .

For all,  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_t$ , we define  $R_\lambda = U_\lambda$  on  $X_t$  and  $R_\lambda = \underline{1}$  on  $X - X_t$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{U}$ , the family of all  $R_\lambda$ 's is  $\bigoplus_{t \in T} \delta_t$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \delta_t, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \mu_t)$ . Since  $(X, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \delta_t, \bigoplus_{t \in T} \mu_t)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic, therefore,  $\mathcal{U}$  has a  $\bigoplus_{t \in T} \mu_t$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement, say  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta : \beta \in \Delta_1\}$ . Then clearly,  $\mathcal{V}_t = \{V_\beta|_{X_t} : V_\beta \in \mathcal{V}\}$  is  $\mu_t$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}_t$ . Hence  $(X_t, \delta_t, \mu_t)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic,  $\forall t \in T$ .

**Theorem 2.35.** The sum space  $(X \cup Y, \delta_1 \oplus \delta_2, \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic if and only if  $(X, \delta_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(Y, \delta_2, \mu_2)$  are  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic.

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.33 and 2.34.

**Theorem 2.36.** A fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic if and only if each  $\delta_i$  basic  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement.

*Proof.* First suppose that each  $\delta_i$  basic  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement. We shall show that  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -B -finitistic. For this, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any  $\delta_i$   $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . For each  $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_t$  be the family of all the basic  $\alpha$ -open subsets of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  whose join is  $U_\lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the union of all these families  $\mathcal{A}_t$ 's. then clearly,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a basic  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . By the

given condition,  $\mathcal{V}$  has a  $\delta_j$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement, say  $\mathcal{V}_j$ . Then clearly,  $\mathcal{V}_j$  is a  $\delta_i$  finite order  $\alpha$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -finitistic.

Converse is trivial.

## References

- [1] Shakeel Ahmed, On  $\alpha$ -finitistic spaces, *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, No.1, 22 (2006), 73-82.
- [2] Shakeel Ahmed, Finitisticness of bitopological spaces, *J.Ultra Scientist*, No.1, 19 (2007), 225-229.
- [3] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [4] S. Deo, Topology of finitistic spaces and related topics, *Bull. Allahbad Math. Soc.*, 2 (1988), 256-268.
- [5] D. S. Jamwal and Shakeel Ahmed, On covering dimension and finitistic spaces in  $L$ -topology, *J.Fuzzy Mathematics*, No.2, 14 (2006), 207-222.
- [6] J. C. Kelly, *Bitopological spaces Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 13 (1963), 71-89.
- [7] Y. M. Liu and M. K. Luo, *Fuzzy topology*, World Scientific Pub., (1997).
- [8] A. R. Pears, *Dimension theory of general spaces*, Cambridge University Press, (1975).
- [9] R. Srivastava and M. Srivastava, On compactness in bifuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 121 (2001), 285-292.
- [10] L. A. Zedah, Fuzzy sets, *Inform and Control*, 8 (1965), 338-353.